## Hypopharyngeal and Esophageal Injury after Anterior Cervical Discectomy and Fusion

## Abstract

## OBJECTIVES/HYPOTHESIS

The primary objective of this study was to evaluate presenting symptoms, workup and management of hypopharyngeal and esophageal injury associated with anterior cervical spine fusion.
STUDY DESIGN:
Retrospective consecutive case series in an academic institution.

## METHODS:

Inclusion criteria included all patients with esophageal injury and dysphagia after ACDF that presented to the OHSU head and neck clinics from January 1, 2006 to July 1 2011, ( $\mathrm{N}=$ 10). Outcomes reviewed include time to presentation, presenting symptoms, method of repair and oral intake status.
RESULTS:
Of 10 patient records reviewed, 4 patients presented with dysphagia, hoarseness, neck pain or abscess within a week after ACDF. 3 patients presented $>1$ year after ACDF with abscess, aspiration pneumonia or stridor. 3 patients had a delay in diagnosis with >1year between presentation of dysphagia and final diagnosis. Management of these patients was variable except for removal of fusion plate which occurred in 9/10 patients as either a staged procedure or concurrent with esophageal repair. Conservative treatment without reinforcing tissue was successful in 3 patients. These 3 patients had no evidence of esophageal injury or only a small perforation in initial endoscopy. One patient underwent successful repair with SCM flap alone. Six patients underwent free tissue transfer with one requiring salvage with SCM flap and two with persistent fistulas (one patient continued to take PO against medical advice, one patient with massive chordoma resection). On post treatment modified barium swallow, 4/10 developed small, asymptomatic and stable diverticulums (two treated conservatively, one free tissue transfer and one SCM flap). 7/10 patients were taking PO at 1 wk- 9 mos post treatment. Of the remaining three patients, 2 died of other causes and one patient was a premorbid quadriplegic with longterm PEG use.

## CONCLUSIONS:

In patients with a history of ACDF (recent or remote) and complaints of dysphagia, clinicians should maintain a high index of suspicion for extraordinarily morbid complications such as esophageal perforation. Patients with 3 months of mild to moderate dysphagia would benefit from a modified barium swallow. Patient with severe symptoms for a similar length of time may need to undergo MBS, CT scan and endoscopy for complete evaluation. In cases of esophageal perforation, fusion plate must be removed prior to or in conjunction with repair. Placement of posterior plate can be performed in those with unstable spines. Our study and literature review indicate that majority of patients will resume oral intake.

## Introduction

Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion is one of the most common spinal procedures Review of the Nationwide Inpatient Sample ACDF represented 932009 (0.3\%) of ACDF represented 932,009 (0.3\%) of all there was a $206 \%$ increase in the rate of cervical spine fusion in Medicare beneficiaries (Figure 1) (2).


Figure 1 (2)
Indications for undergoing ACDF include disc herniation, stenosis, cord compression, trauma, tumor. Complications after ACDF


Figure 2 (4)
include RLN palsy, horners syndrome, pharyngeal or sophageal laceration, great vessel injury, epidural hematom seroma, dural laceration, spina
cord contusion, cord contusio
CSF leak, bone graft or plate extrusion. Rates of these complications vary with or without plate placement, with varying levels of fusion, with age (Figure 2) $(3,4)$.

Proposed etiologies of dysphagia after ACDF can be divided into immediate or delayed (Table 1) (5).


A high index of suspicion and various imaging and endoscopy techniques can help with diagnosis of dysphagia and hypopharyngeal/esophageal injury (Table 2).


## Methods

This was a retrospective review of all patients with esophageal injury and dysphagia after ACDF between 2006-2011 at a tertiary care center, Oregon Health and Science University, $\mathrm{N}=10$.

Outcomes evaluated include 1) time to presentation 2) presenting symptoms 3 ) mode of diagnosis 4) repair (free tissue transfer vs. pedicled flap 5) postoperative PO status.


## Conclusion

1. In patients with history of ACDF (recent or remote) and complaints of dysphagia, would have high index of suspicion to obtain MBS or esophagram as well as endoscopy to identify highly morbid complications such as esophageal perforations.
2. In esophageal perforation, always remove the plate and eplace with a posterior if needed.
3. Most patients will eventually resume PO intake
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Outcomes

1. Time to symptoms

Immediate: $60 \%$ presented with dysphagia,
hoarseness, neck pain or abscess with hoarseness, neck pain or abscess within $1-2$ weeks
after ACDF - Case 4: Esophageal injury was noted during primary procedure in only one case

- Case 9 : Seroma evacuation in OR with - Case 9 Seroma evacuatio
subsequent airway edema

Delayed: $40 \%$ present with symptoms 6 months to ${ }_{3 / 4}^{10}$ years atter ACDF with abscess, asp pneumonia or stridor. with abscess, asp pneumonia or stridor.
Three patients had a delay in diagnosis with $>1$ yr Three patients had a delay in diagnosis with
between presentation of dysphagia and final
diagnosis. diagnosis.

- Case 1 - Case $10-6$ yr delay: fluctuating voice and
serviceable swallow. Diagnosed with vc Serviceable swallow. Diagnosed with VC
parasis. stricture and a cute esophagitis. Finally
Di demonstred DL demonstrated screws (Figure 3) pyogenic granuloma (Figure 4)
- Case $6-14$ mo delay: "ssaw a specialist who advised her to modify her diet and that it was
due to scar tissue"

2. Initial Presenting Symptoms Dysphagia $50 \%$ (both immediate and delaye)
Abscess $40 \%$ (both Hoarseness 20\%
Aspiration PNA 20\%
Stridor 10\% Stridor $10 \%$
Neck Pain $10 \%$
3. Method of Repair

Conservative treatment without reinforcing tissu was successtul in 3 patients.
These 3 patients had no evidence of esophageal injury or only a small perforation in initial Ondoscopy.
One patient underwent successful repair with SCM flap alone.
Six patients underwent free tissue transfer one requiring salvage with SCM flap one requiring salvage with
two with persistent - Case e: persitient tistulinued to take PO against
medical advice - Case 3: patient with massive chordoma -Case 3: pa
resection.
4. ACDF plate removed in $9 / 10 \mathrm{pt}$ One pt with unstable spine due to chordoma

## 5. Post-treatment MBS:

4/10 developed small, asymptomatic and stable
diverticulums (two treated conservatively diverticulums (two treated conservatively, one
tissue transfer and one SCM flap). (Figure 5 )
6. PEG vs. PO
$7 / 10$ patients were taking PO at 1 wk -9mos post treatment.
Two patients died of other causes One patient was a premorbid quadriplegic with
longterm PEG use.

## Figure 3




