

Innovative Customizations to Porous Polyethylene Cranioplasty Implants for Enhanced Cosmesis in Anterior Skull Base Reconstruction

Bethany Andrews, MD¹; Olivia E. Gilbert MD²; Brian Thorp, PhD²; Carolyn Quinsey, MD^{1,2} University of North Carolina Medical Center

Background

Repairing frontal calvarial defects poses significant challenges

- <u>Aesthetic</u> demands
- <u>Functional</u> restoration
- \circ $\$ Requires thorough understanding of intricate anterior skull base anatomy

• Goals of frontal cranioplasty:

- o <u>Cosmetic</u> improvement
- Provide <u>structural support</u> for the brain and orbits
- Separate intracranial and extracranial contents with a <u>water-tight seal</u>
- $\circ \quad \text{Prevent CSF leak}$
- Minimize <u>dead space</u>

Reconstruction materials available for cranioplasty:

 <u>Autologous bone</u> often preferred - strength, availability, osteoconduction, and compatibility



- <u>Synthetic Alternatives</u> porous polyethylene, titanium, hydroxyapatite, polymethylmethacrylate, and polyetheretherketone
- Porous polyethylene implants:
 - Can be <u>custom-designed</u> preoperatively
 - Combine the <u>durability</u>, <u>structural support</u>, and <u>biocompatibility</u> of autologous bone
 - Fosters fibrous tissue infiltration and bone growth to <u>reduce extrusion rates</u>

Case Presentation

We report two cases of secondary anterior skull base reconstruction using custom-designed porous polyethylene implants, including several innovative customizations for enhanced cosmesis.

Patient 1: 18-year-old male with a history of severe TBI necessitating bifrontal craniectomy followed by skull base repair and titanium mesh placement at an outside facility, who presented with mucocele, CSF rhinorrhea, and pneumocephalus.

Patient 2: 29-year-old female with a history of self-inflicted gunshot wound to the head necessitating multiple skull base repairs and titanium mesh placement at an outside facility, who presented with brow asymmetry and skull base defect.

Figure 3:



Methods

Both patients underwent <u>titanium mesh removal and frontal sinus</u> <u>cranialization</u>.

<u>Patient 1</u> required temporalis fascia and muscle grafts as well as a latissimus dorsi free muscle graft for sinus obliteration. This was followed by cranioplasty with modifications to thin the flange and to eliminate the flange as the implant met the orbital bar (Figures 1, 2).

Patient 2 required a multilayered skull base repair including vastus lateralis muscle plug, temporalis graft, and obliteration of the frontal sinuses followed by cranioplasty. For this patient, the flange was ultra-thin (0.85 mm), and a drill was used to contour the inferior edge of the flap in order to facilitate cosmesis (Figures 3, 4).

Discussion

Both patients had excellent postoperative outcomes with no complications, achieving successful skull base repair and superior cosmetic results.

Although cosmesis has not traditionally been a primary focus in skull base reconstruction, these innovative modifications to cranioplasty implants offer a replicable approach that can be adapted to suit the specific needs of patients

Conclusions

 Innovative modifications to cranioplasty implants offer a replicable approach that can be adapted to suit the specific cosmetic and functional needs of patients and their reconstruction.

and their reconstruction.

Contact

Bethany Andrews

University of North Carolina Department of Neurosurgery

Address: Physicians Office Building 170 Manning Drive, Campus Box 7060 Chapel Hill, NC 27599

Email: <u>Bethany.Andrews@unchealth.unc.edu</u>

Phone: 918-424-5693

References

- 1. Baudoin ME, Palines PA, Stalder MW. Frontal Cranioplasty with Vascularized Split-iliac Crest Bone Flap. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2021 Nov 16;9(11):e3934. doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000003934. PMID: 34796087; PMCID: PMC8594656.
- 2. Kim JK, Lee SB, Yang SY. Cranioplasty Using Autologous Bone versus Porous Polyethylene versus Custom-Made Titanium Mesh : A Retrospective Review of 108 Patients. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2018 Nov;61(6):737-746. doi: 10.3340/jkns.2018.0047. Epub 2018 Oct 30. PMID: 30396247; PMCID: PMC6280051.
- 3. Perozzo, F., Ku, Y., Kshettry, V., Sikder, P., Papay, F., Rampazzo, A. & Bassiri Gharb, B. (2024). High-Density Porous Polyethylene Implant Cranioplasty: A Systematic Review of Outcomes. *The Journal of Craniofacial Surgery*, *35* (4), 1074-1079. doi: 10.1097/SCS.000000000010135.
- 4. Shakir S, Card EB, Kimia R, Greives MR, Nguyen PD. Anterior Cranial Base Reconstruction in Complex Craniomaxillofacial Trauma: An Algorithmic Approach and Single-Surgeon's Experience. Arch Plast Surg. 2022 Apr 6;49(2):174-183. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1744406. PMID: 35832671; PMCID: PMC9045527.
- 5. Singh M, Ricci JA, Dunn IF, Caterson EJ. Alloderm Covering Over Titanium Cranioplasty May Minimize Contour Deformities in the Frontal Bone Position. J Craniofac Surg. 2016 Jul;27(5):1292-4. doi: 10.1097/SCS.00000000002796. PMID: 27380578.